

EUR-ACE Going Global

Assuring and certifying quality of engineering education programmes worldwide

Virtual Forum

September 23-24, 2021



Good practices of learning outcome assessment

Results of an investigation made on behalf of the ENAAEE Label Committee

Günter Heitmann
ASIIN, TU Berlin



Reminding the essentials

- **Outcome oriented accreditation of programmes is based on the provision of evidence which demonstrates that all required and intended programme and learning outcomes are achieved by all students who graduate**
- **Programme providers have to gain and deliver respective evidences, taking into account requirements from accreditors/agencies**

Question: How detailed should the requirements be?



The accreditation decision

- ▶ External peers as members of accreditation panels have to evaluate and decide whether provided evidences are satisfactory in numbers and convincing in quality/credibility
- ▶ The „Best practice in Engineering accreditation“ document, signed by ENAEE and the IEA in 2017, shows a high level of agreement concerning the accreditation process in general

Questions:

How should the evaluation and decision processes be structured in detail?

How must the evaluators be qualified to guarantee consistency of decisions?



Learning outcome assessment

- ▶ Successfully achieved learning outcomes should be demonstrated and assessed by appropriate approaches:
- ▶ **Preferably direct methods, where performance can be measured/observed and graded**
- ▶ e.g. tests, written or oral exam, lab experiments and exercises, thesis work or project artefacts, presentations ...

Challenge:

It is easier to assess knowledge and practical skills than higher level cognitive or social skills and competences or, even more, attitudes and values.



Supporting approaches of LO assessment

- **Indirect methods, where subjective impressions are taken into account: e.g.**
 - **Self assessment of students,**
 - **peer assessment and observations of teaching staff,**
 - **assessment by external evaluators,**
 - **graduate questionnaires or interviews,**
 - **feedback from employers**



Input parameter as additional indicators

- ▶ **Indicators which can inform about a high probability that intended LO's will be achieved, e.g.**
- ▶ Provision of activating learning situations and environments like group work, labs, projects, collaboration with industry, internships, service learning, involvement in research activities, extra curricular activities
- ▶ Qualifications and research and practice experiences of teaching staff
- ▶ Mentoring and student advice provisions
- ▶ Ressources: Up-to-date facilities and equipment
- ▶ Student recruitment and progression



Identification of good practice of ENAEE member agencies: Investigation on behalf of the ENAEE Label Committee (LC)

Reasons

- **Ensuring consistency of ENAEE authorisations by ENAEE LC and AC**
- **Improving quality of LO assessment by disseminating good practice**
- **Adapting new approaches like online programmes, online assessment and accreditation, assessment and recognition of prior learning**
- **Contributing to evaluator qualification and training**
- **Specifying or updating ENAEE and agencies requirements**



Investigation based on questionnaire to ENAEE member agencies

- ▶ Replies received from 8 agencies, supplemented by links to relevant guidelines, documents and forms

Here some selected results and examples of good practice focusing on

- ▶ Requirements of accreditation agencies
- ▶ Approaches of LO assessment and requested evidences
- ▶ Review and evaluation process
- ▶ Qualification and training of reviewers
- ▶ Information of programme providers



Requirements of accreditation agencies

Requirements of agencies to programme providers are usually embedded in manuals, report forms and guidelines for the self-assessment review

Examples of good practice:

- **Request for detailed programme aims and intended learning outcomes, transferring generic ENAEE or national outcome standards into subject and programme related (measurable) learning outcomes**
- **Request for matrices relating intended learning outcomes to programme aims**
- **Request for matrices relating provided course units/modules to intended learning outcomes**
- **Request of an overview, which evidences will be provided to demonstrate achievement of every learning outcome and the programme aims**



Approaches of LO assessment and requested evidences

- ▶ All agencies require a module catalogue and the description of all mandatory and optional modules and additional learning offers the curriculum of a certain programme

Good practice examples require

- ▶ **Module descriptions, relating module learning outcomes, content, learning situation and activities and assessment approaches (constructive alignment)**
- ▶ **Rubrics, describing expected performances demonstrating the (scored) achievement of intended learning outcomes**
- ▶ **Particular evidences like written exam questions and results, questions and minutes of oral exams, lab assignments and results, project artefacts, thesis work, teamwork descriptions and reflections, internship results ..**
- ▶ **In addition results of indirect assessment approaches and/or in-put parameters**



Review and evaluation process

- ▶ Still big differences in the duration of site visits and evaluations, from 1 to 3 days, with a tendency that in short visits the results of LO assessments are not properly checked and evaluated

Good practice embraces

- ▶ **Time slot of at least half a day to check provided evidences of achieved learning outcomes and possibilities to interview teaching staff and students**
- ▶ **Careful evaluation of evidences before the site visit**
- ▶ **Systematic review and possibly scoring to which extent each of the intended outcomes is achieved**
- ▶ **Feedback from stakeholders, sometimes focusing on specific outcomes**
- ▶ **Evaluation forms guiding the evaluation process of the accreditors**



Qualification and training of reviewers

- ▶ Accreditation panels have to decide whether provided evidences are credible and satisfactory. To ensure consistency of the judgement within an agency and between agencies a training is necessary

Good practice examples

- ▶ **Provision of regular seminars for reviewers, with a focus on outcomes based accreditation and outcome assessment approaches**
- ▶ **Specific training of accreditation panels before the start of an accreditation process**
- ▶ **Mentoring for newly appointed members of accreditation panels**



Information of programme providers

- HEIs and programme providers should be comprehensively informed about the concept of outcome based accreditation of programmes and its contribution to quality assurance and management

Good practices observed

- **Publicly available and regularly updated documents, guidelines and forms regarding the accreditation process and its requirements**
- **Annual conferences and workshops of agencies inviting HEI's and engineering education stakeholders for a continuous discourse on results and improvement of engineering programmes and accreditation practice**



Questions for discussion

- ▶ **How can ENAEE and the agencies support the dissemination and application of good practice in learning outcome assessment?**
 - ▶ **Should ENAEE or the agencies specify requirements or recommendations for appropriate evidences related to the various expected learning outcomes?**
 - ▶ **Can you contribute additional examples of good practice in LO assessment?**
- 



Thank you for comments

- ▶ If not in this session then to my mail address
- ▶ guenter.heitmann@web.de